

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/588,589	04/18/2007	Frederic Beck	026032-5042	8707	
22428 FOLEY AND	7590 06/01/200 LARDNER LLP	9	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500			CHENEVERT, PAUL A		
3000 K STREE WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	1, 20 20007		3612		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/01/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/588,589	BECK ET AL.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
Paul A. Chenevert	3612					

		Faul A. Chellevert	3612	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 11 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. 🛛	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
	The period for reply expires <u>9</u> months from the mailing date  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: (1 box 1 is checked, check either box (8) of MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706 07	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
nave under set fo may r	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been flied is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the rith in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). ICE OF APPEA.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2.	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	NDMENTS			
3	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further to (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	TE below);	
	appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
5. 🛭	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	See Continuation Sheet.		
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 17.11.14 and 18.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. 🗆	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
. ~. L				

/GLENN DAYOAN/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3612

Paul A. Chenevert Examiner Art Unit: 3612 Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the rejection of claims 1, 5, 7-14, 16 & 18-20 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph along with the drawing objections and the objection to the abstract.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowence because: The Applicants' arguments are not persuasive. The Applicants argue on page 10 that the Crotty, III reference fails to teach the "T-shaped peg 100" or the "groove 106" are on the frame (mirror assembly 90). They make reference to the Crotty, III disclosure that "the "T-shaped peg 100" and the "groove 106" take the place of the "first aligning elements 50" and the "receiving holes 54," which are provided on either the "linner core member 16" and the "upholstery material 22" respectively, and not on the "imiror assembly 90. The Applicants are inferring a narrow definition of the cover element of Crotty, III. As mentioned in the final rejection, Crotty, III discloses a cover element, as described in Claim 1, and in regards to further claim 9, the cover element is provided in the manner (form) of a frame for a mirror assembly (90). As understood from the Applicants' argument, the siliding elements must be located on the frame since the cover element, is provided in the form of a frame, however, the sliding elements are actually claimed as being on the structural part and the cover element. (see lines 11-17 of the after final among members) where the claim does it state that the sliding elements must be located exclusively on a frame and this feature is not disclosed in the describiton.